Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/630,992	MA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Srirama Channavajjala	2166
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Srirama Channavajjala</u> .	(3)	
(2) William E. Lewis, Regd.No. 39,274.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 January 2007	Time: <u>10.50</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1,3-8,10-15,17-21 Prior art documents discussed: US Pub.No. 2004/0181519 Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	by the examiner appears in F	art ii above.
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone was made to applicant's representative Attorney, William E. Lewis, Regd.No. 39,274 about the potential amendment to the claims 1,8,15 and cancelling claims 3-5,10-12,17-19, in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment.